

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Soc. 149 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,098	10/30/2001		Masaaki Kawasumi	112A 3189	5240
1	590	08/14/2003			
KODA & AN	IDROLIA		EXAMINER		
Suite 3850 2029 Century			ANDERSON, GERALD A		
Los Angeles, CA 90067				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3637	
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/022,098	KAWASUMI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JERRY A ANDERSON	3637
- The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. t. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty rido will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT latute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timety filed (30) days will be considered timety. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	lowance except for formal matte der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the applicat		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.		r
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n reply to this Office action.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Ap	plication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 10/022,098

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawing Figure is objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: . Correction is required. All of the drawings should be reviewed to ensure the accuracy of the reference signs.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the motors of claim 4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a

Application/Control Number: 10/022,098

Art Unit: 3637

manner as to present a complete operative device. The body may be blow-molded "of" a resin but not "by" a resin, see claims 1 and 3. Terms which make the claims indefinite include: "their" in claim 1, "its" in claim 3, "". Terms in the claims which lack proper antecedent basis include: "hollow spaces" in claim 1, claim 1 has no antecedent basis for the "top plate" or "upward opening" in claim 3. Lines of claim are indefinite. Claim 1 is misdescriptive because the front panel 25 does not comprise "a panel body 38 for covering the forward opening" 24b. It is not understood how the frame member 47 regulates the "inward dislocation of" the sidewalls or how dislocation is used in "defining the forward opening". The front panel does cover a cover an upper front part of the casing or a portion of the opening 24b. Misspelled in line, of claim is "".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims , as presented, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by.

Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the

Application/Control Number: 10/022,098

Art Unit: 3637

subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3, as presented, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snell et al in view of Kruck et al. Snell is cited showing a box 10 with side walls 12, 13 and 40, 41, a front part 51, see figure 8, having a frame part 53, a top plate 22 having an engaging portions 30, 31 and counterpart engaging portions 40, 41. Snell fails to disclose blow molded panels filled with foamed material. Generally the selection of well-known material based on its suitability for the intended use is considered an obvious matter of design choice. Here foamed material between molded panels is well known in the art as shown by Kruck et al. Therefore it would have been obvious for one having an ordinary skill in the art to have modified with foamed material between molded panels as panels for a box is an obvious matter of design choice. Since the references are from the same field of endeavor the purpose of Kruck would have been obvious in the pertinent art of Snell at the time of the invention it would have

been obvious for one having an ordinary skill in the art to have modified Snell with a in view of Kruck.

The applicant may argue that the front panel and frame member are not integrally constructed by blow molding. The applicant's claims are drawn to a product or apparatus. It is well settled in case law that where the product is not patentably distinct over the prior art, process limitations cannot impart patentability. In re Stephens et al 52 CCPA 1409, 345 E 2ed 1020. 145 USPQ 656.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Anderson whose telephone number is 703 038 2202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703 308 24668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3597 for regular communications and 703 306 4195 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 2197.

Jaa August 9, 2003

> ARALD A'. ANDERSON PATENT EXAMINER